

A PROOF OF DEJEAN'S CONJECTURE

JAMES CURRIE AND NARAD RAMPERSAD

ABSTRACT. We prove Dejean's conjecture. Specifically, we show that Dejean's conjecture holds for the last remaining open values of n , namely $15 \leq n \leq 26$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Repetitions in words have been studied since the beginning of the previous century [16, 17]. Recently, there has been much interest in repetitions with fractional exponent [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 11]. For rational $1 < r \leq 2$, a **fractional r -power** is a non-empty word $w = pe$ such that e is the prefix of p of length $(r - 1)|p|$. We call e the **excess** of the repetition. We also say that r is the **exponent** of the repetition pe . For example, 010 is a $3/2$ -power, with excess 0. A basic problem is that of identifying the repetitive threshold for each alphabet size $n > 1$:

What is the infimum of r such that an infinite sequence on n letters exists, not containing any factor of exponent greater than r ?

This infimum is called the **repetitive threshold** of an n -letter alphabet and is denoted by $RT(n)$. Dejean's conjecture [6] is that

$$RT(n) = \begin{cases} 7/4, & n = 3 \\ 7/5, & n = 4 \\ n/(n-1), & n \neq 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Thue, Dejean and Pansiot, respectively [17, 6, 14], established the values $RT(2)$, $RT(3)$, $RT(4)$. Moulin Ollagnier [13] verified Dejean's conjecture for $5 \leq n \leq 11$, and Mohammad-Noori and Currie [12] proved the conjecture for $12 \leq n \leq 14$. Recently, Carpi [3] showed that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 33$. The present authors strengthened Carpi's construction to show that Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 27$ [4, 5]. In this note we show that in fact Dejean's conjecture holds for $n \geq 2$. We will freely assume the usual notions of combinatorics on words as set forth in, for example, [9].

2. MORPHISMS

Given previous work, it remains only to show that Dejean's conjecture holds for $15 \leq n \leq 26$. This follows from the fact that the following morphisms are 'convenient' in the sense of [13]. To make our exposition self-contained, we demonstrate in the remainder of this paper how these morphisms are used to prove Dejean's conjecture for $15 \leq n \leq 26$. We introduce several simplifications and one correction to the work of Moulin Ollagnier [13].

Date: May 22, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 68R15.

The first author is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

The second author is supported by an NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{15}(0) &= 011011010110110110110101011010101101101101101101101101101 \\
h_{15}(1) &= 101010110110110101011010110101101011011011011010110101010101 \\
\\
h_{16}(0) &= 10101011011011010110110110110101010101010101101010101010101 \\
h_{16}(1) &= 01101011011011010101101101010110110101010110101010101010101 \\
\\
h_{17}(0) &= 10101010101010101011011010110110101010101010110101101101101101 \\
h_{17}(1) &= 10101010101010101011011011011010101010110110110110110110110110 \\
\\
h_{18}(0) &= 1010101010110110110101011011010101101101101010110101101101010101 \\
h_{18}(1) &= 0110101010110110101011011011010101101101101010110101010101010101 \\
\\
h_{19}(0) &= 10101010101010101010110110101011011010101010101011010110101101101 \\
h_{19}(1) &= 1010101010101010101011011010110110101010101011011011011010110110110 \\
\\
h_{20}(0) &= 10101010101010101010110110110101010101010110110110110110101011010101 \\
h_{20}(1) &= 1010101010101010101011011011010101101101101101101101101010110110110 \\
\\
h_{21}(0) &= 10101010101010101010110110101010101101101010101011011011010101010101101 \\
h_{21}(1) &= 1010101010101010101011011010101011011010101010101101101010101010101101 \\
\\
h_{22}(0) &= 10101010101010101010110101010101010101010101101101101101101011011010101 \\
h_{22}(1) &= 10101010101010101010110101010101010110110101011011011011011011011010110 \\
\\
h_{23}(0) &= 10101010101010101010101010101010101101101011011011011010101101101101101 \\
h_{23}(1) &= 101010101010101010101010101010101101101010110110110110110110110110110110 \\
\\
h_{24}(0) &= 1010101010101010101011010101101101010101010101011010101011011011011010101 \\
h_{24}(1) &= 1010101010101010101011010101101101010110110101010101010110110110110110110 \\
\\
h_{25}(0) &= 10101010101010101010101010110110101010110110101101101101011010101010101101 \\
h_{25}(1) &= 10101010101010101010101010110110101010110110110110110110110110101010101101 \\
\\
h_{26}(0) &= 10101010101010101010101010110101010101101101010101011011011010110110110101 \\
h_{26}(1) &= 10101010101010101010101010110101010101101101101010110110110110110110110110
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the last letter of $h_n(0)$ is different from the last letter of $h_n(1)$ in each case. We also note that for each n , $|h_n(0)| = 4n - 4$, except for $n = 21$ where we have $|h_n(0)| = 4n$.

3. MAXIMAL REPETITIONS

For each h_n of Section 2, word 011 is a factor of $h_n(0)$ and 110 is a factor of $h_n(1)$. It follows that $|h_n^m(1)|$ becomes arbitrarily large as m increases, and that every factor of $h_n^\omega(0)$ is a factor of $h_n^m(1)$ for some m .

Let an occurrence of v in $h_n^\omega(0)$ be written $h_n^\omega(0) = xvy$. Suppose that v has period q . We can write $x = x'x''$, $y = y'y''$ such that $x''vy'$ has period q , and $|x''vy'|$ is maximal. This

is possible since every factor of $h_n^\omega(0)$ is a factor of $h_n^m(1)$ for some m , and word 1 has two distinct left extensions 01 and 11, and two distinct right extensions 10 and 11. We refer to $x''vy'$ as the **maximal period q extension** of the occurrence xvy of v .

4. PANSIOT ENCODING

Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $\Sigma_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $v \in \Sigma_n^*$ have length $m \geq n - 1$, and write $v = v_1v_2 \cdots v_m$, $v_i \in \Sigma_n$. In the case where every factor of v of length $n - 1$ contains $n - 1$ distinct letters, we define the **Pansiot encoding** of v to be the word $b(v) = b_1b_2 \cdots b_{m-(n-1)}$ where for $1 \leq i \leq m - n + 1$

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 0, & v_i = v_{i+n-1} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can recover v from $b(v)$ and $v_1v_2 \dots v_{n-1}$. We see that v has period q if and only if $b(v)$ does. The exponent $|v|/q$ of v corresponds to an exponent $\frac{|v| - n + 1}{q}$ of $b(v)$.

Let S_n denote the symmetric group on Σ_n with identity id and left multiplication, i.e.,

$$(fg)(i) = f(g(i)) \text{ for } f, g \in S_n, i \in \Sigma_n.$$

Let $\sigma : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow S_n$ be the semigroup homomorphism generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & (n-2) & (n-1) & n \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & (n-1) & 1 & n \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma(1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & (n-2) & (n-1) & n \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & (n-1) & n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

One proves by induction that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(b(v)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & (n-2) & (n-1) & n \\ v_{m-n+2} & v_{m-n+3} & \cdots & v_{m-1} & v_m & \hat{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\hat{v}$ is the unique element of $\Sigma \setminus \{v_m, v_{m-1}, \dots, v_{m-n+2}\}$.

Suppose that $PE \in \Sigma_n^*$ is a repetition of period $q = |P| > 0$ with $|E| \geq n - 1$. It follows from (1) that $\sigma(b(P)) = \text{id}$; i.e. that P is in the kernel of σ . We refer to $b(PE)$ as a **kernel repetition** of period q . Conversely, if $u \in \Sigma_n^*$ and $b(u)$ is a kernel repetition of period q , then we may write $u = PE = EP'$ for some words P, P', E where $|P| = |P'| = q$.

Suppose that for a morphism $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ there is a $\tau \in S_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \cdot \sigma(h(0)) \cdot \tau^{-1} &= \sigma(0) \\ \tau \cdot \sigma(h(1)) \cdot \tau^{-1} &= \sigma(1) \end{aligned}$$

In this case we say that h satisfies the ‘algebraic condition’.

5. KERNEL REPETITIONS WITH MARKABLE EXCESS

Let a uniform morphism $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ be given. Let $|h(0)| = r > 0$. A word $v \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is **markable** (with respect to h) if whenever $h(X)xv$ and $h(Y)yv$ are prefixes of $h^\omega(0)$ with $|x|, |y| < r$, then $x = y$. If a word is markable, its extensions are markable. Let U be the set of length 2 factors of $h^\omega(0)$. A word $v \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is **2-markable** (with respect to h) if whenever

- (1) $u, u' \in U$,
- (2) $h(X)xv$ is a prefix of $h(u)$ with $|x| < r$, and

(3) $h(Y)yv$ is a prefix of $h(u')$ with $|y| < r$,

then $x = y$.

If $|v| = r$ and v is a factor of $h^\omega(0)$, then v is a factor of $h(u)$, some $u \in U$. It follows that if v is 2-markable, then v is markable. For each n , if $h = h_n$, we find $U = \{01, 10, 11\}$. It follows that all length r factors v are factors of $h(0110)$. A finite check shows that if $|v| = r$ and v is a factor of $h^\omega(0)$, then v is 2-markable, hence markable.

Let n be fixed, $15 \leq n \leq 26$ and let $h = h_n$. One checks that h satisfies the algebraic condition. Suppose that $v = pe$ is a kernel repetition with period $q = |p|$, where $h^\omega(0) = xvy$. Notice that every length q factor of pe is conjugate to p , by the periodicity of pe . It follows that every length q factor of pe lies in the kernel of σ . Suppose that the excess e of v is markable. Let $V = x''vy'$ be the maximal period q extension of the occurrence xvy of v . Write $x = Xx'$, $y = y'Y$, so that $h^\omega(0) = XVY$. Write $V = PE = EP'$ where $|P| = q$. Since E is an extension of e , E is markable. Write $X = h(\chi)\chi'$ where $|\chi'| < r$ and write $XP = h(\gamma)\gamma'$ where $|\gamma'| < r$. It follows from the markability of E that $\chi' = \gamma'$. Then the maximality of V yields $|\chi'| = |\gamma'| = 0$. We may thus write $X = h(\chi)$, $E = h(\eta)\eta'$, with $|\eta'| < r$. By the maximality of V , word η' must be the longest common prefix of $h(0)$ and $h(1)$. Since E is a prefix and suffix of PE and E is markable, we know that r divides $|P|$. In total then, we may write $XPE = h(\chi\pi\eta)\eta'$ where $h(\pi) = P$, and η is a prefix of π . Also, since h satisfies the algebraic condition, $\sigma(\pi) = \text{id}$. Thus $\pi\eta$ is a kernel repetition in $h^\omega(0)$. We see that $|PE| = r|\pi\eta| + |\eta'|$.

The maximality of V implies that $\pi\eta$ is maximal with respect to having period $|\pi|$. This means that if η is markable, we can repeat the foregoing construction. Eventually we obtain a kernel repetition $\mathcal{PE}$ with non-markable excess $\mathcal{E}$. If it takes s steps to arrive at $\mathcal{PE}$ then we find that $|PE| = r^s|\mathcal{PE}| + |\eta'| \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r^i$ and $|P| = r^s|\mathcal{P}|$.

6. MAIN RESULT

Let n be fixed, $15 \leq n \leq 26$ and let $h = h_n$. Suppose that u_1 is a factor of $h^\omega(0)$ with $|u_1| = \ell$. Extending u_1 by a suffix of length at most $r - 1$, and a prefix of length at most $r - 1$, we obtain a word $h(u_2)$, some factor u_2 of $h^\omega(0)$, where $|u_2| \leq \lfloor (\ell + 2(r - 1))/r \rfloor$. Repeating the argument, we find that u_1 is a factor of $h^2(u_3)$, some factor u_3 of $h^\omega(0)$ where

$$(2) \quad |u_3| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor (\ell + 2(r - 1))/r \rfloor + 2(r - 1)}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

Define

$$I(\ell, r) = \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor (\ell + 2(r - 1))/r \rfloor + 2(r - 1)}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the ω -word over Σ_n with prefix $123 \cdots (n-1)$ and Pansiot encoding $b(\mathbf{w}) = h^\omega(0)$. We will show that $\mathbf{w}$ contains no $(\frac{n}{n-1})^+$ -powers. Suppose to the contrary that pe is a repetition in $\mathbf{w}$ with $|pe|/|p| > n/(n-1)$ and e a prefix of p .

First suppose that $|e| \geq (n-1)$. Let $PE = b(pe)$. Then PE is a kernel repetition. Let η' be the longest common prefix of $h(0)$ and $h(1)$. As in the previous section, replacing pe and PE by longer repetitions of period $|P|$ if necessary, we may assume that $h^\omega(0)$ contains a kernel repetition $\mathcal{PE}$ with non-markable excess $\mathcal{E}$ such that $|PE| = r^s|\mathcal{PE}| + |\eta'| \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r^i$ and $|P| = r^s|\mathcal{P}|$.

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \frac{1}{n-1} &= \frac{n}{n-1} \\
&< \frac{|pe|}{|p|} \\
&= \frac{|PE| + n - 1}{|P|} \\
&= \frac{r^s |\mathcal{PE}| + |\eta'| \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r^i + n - 1}{r^s |\mathcal{P}|} \\
&= \frac{r^s |\mathcal{P}| + r^s |\mathcal{E}|}{r^s |\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{|\eta'| \sum_{i=1}^s r^{-i}}{|\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{n-1}{r^s |\mathcal{P}|} \\
&< 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \left(|\mathcal{E}| + |\eta'| \frac{r}{r-1} + n - 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|\mathcal{P}| < (n-1) \left(|\mathcal{E}| + |\eta'| \frac{r}{r-1} + n - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{PE}| &< |\mathcal{E}| + (n-1) \left(|\mathcal{E}| + |\eta'| \frac{r}{r-1} + n - 1 \right) \\
&\leq r + (n-1) \left(r + (r-1) \frac{r}{r-1} + n - 1 \right) \\
&\leq 4n + (n-1)(9n-1) \\
&= 9n^2 - 6n + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We use that $|\mathcal{E}| < r$ (since all factors of $h^\omega(0)$ of length r or greater are markable) and $r \leq 4n$ (as observed in Section 2). Finally, since η' is a proper prefix of $h(0)$, $|\eta'| < r$.

One verifies that $I(9n^2 - 6n + 1, r) = 2$. Since every length 2 factor of $h^\omega(0)$ is a factor of 0110, word $b(PE)$ must be a factor of $h^2(0110)$. Let v be the word of Σ_n with prefix $123 \cdots (n-1)$ and Pansiot encoding $h^2(0110)$. Since $b(PE)$ is a kernel repetition, word v contains a repetition $\hat{p}\hat{e}$ with $|\hat{e}| \geq n-1$. However, a computer search shows that v contains no such repetition.

We conclude that $|e| \leq n-2$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{n-1} < \frac{|pe|}{|p|} &\implies |e|n > |pe| \\
&\implies (n-2)n - (n-1) > |b(pe)| \\
&\implies n^2 - 3n + 1 > |b(pe)|
\end{aligned}$$

However, $n^2 - 3n + 1 < 9n^2 - 6n + 1$, so that again $b(pe)$ must be a factor of $h^2(0110)$, and v , defined as in the previous case, must contain a $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^+$ -power. However, a computer search shows that word v is $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^+$ -power free.

We have proved the following:

Main Result: Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the word over Σ_n with prefix $123 \cdots (n-1)$ and Pansiot encoding $b(\mathbf{w}) = h^\omega(0)$. Word $\mathbf{w}$ contains no $(\frac{n}{n-1})^+$ -powers.

7. FINAL REMARKS

Our result builds on that of [13], but uses somewhat simpler arguments, taking advantage of properties of our specific morphisms. In addition, we have specified bounds for the various computer checks, rather than invoking mere decidability.

A large simplification results from the fact that our morphisms give binary words with no kernel repetitions at all (even of small exponent). When moving from PE to $\pi\eta$ in Section 5 one can give the relationship between the exponents of these two kernel repetitions.

$$\frac{|PE|}{|P|} = \frac{|\pi\eta|}{|\pi|} + \frac{|\eta'|}{r|\pi|}.$$

If it takes s steps to arrive from repetition PE to a repetition $\pi\eta$ with non-markable excess, then the exponents differ by

$$\frac{|\eta'|}{|\pi|} \sum_{i=1}^s r^{-i}.$$

In the notation of [13], PE corresponds to $\mu^s(\pi, \eta)$, and has the largest exponent among the $\mu^i(\pi, \eta)$, $0 \leq i \leq s$. Unfortunately, [13] is marred by getting this backward, saying that for uniform morphisms the largest exponent occurs either for $i = 0$ or for $i = 1$!

In fact, for the morphisms given for $n = 5, 6, 7$, η' is empty, so the aforementioned reversal has no effect. However, for $8 \leq n \leq 11$, η' is non-empty, and a more complicated check than indicated in [13] is necessary to ensure that the given constructions work. Happily, they do indeed work, as a more careful check shows.

Finally, we mention a few points regarding the search strategy for finding morphisms. The second step of the strategy indicated in [13] calls for enumerating all candidate morphisms of short enough length. A priori, this involves enumerating all binary words of length at most r which are Pansiot encodings of $(\frac{n}{n-1})^+$ -free words over Σ_n . Initially this was part of our strategy. Unfortunately, our experience supports the conjecture in [15], that the number of these words grows approximately as 1.24^r (independently of n .)

For successive r values we looked at all possible pairs $\langle h(0), h(1) \rangle$ such that $|h(0)|, |h(1)| \leq r$ where $h(0), h(1)$ were Pansiot encodings of $(\frac{n}{n-1})^+$ -free words and satisfied the algebraic condition; this allowed us to verify the claim of [13] that the morphisms presented therein for $5 \leq n \leq 11$ are shortest possible ‘convenient morphisms’; the uniforms are all uniform, with lengths around $4n - 4$ in each case. However, storing all legal Pansiot encodings up to length $4n - 4$ fills up a laptop with 2G RAM at around $n = 15$. Therefore, our search program had to migrate to computers with more and more RAM, simply to store Pansiot encodings. On the plus side, we found a great number of ‘convenient morphisms’ for $12 \leq n \leq 17$, not just the ones presented in this paper.

To find morphisms for n up to 26 (and indeed for various other higher values of n) we adopted a different strategy. Using backtracking, we found legal Pansiot encodings of length exactly $r = 4n - 4$ (or $r = 4n$, in the case $n = 21$), but only saved encodings v for which the permutation $\sigma(v)$ was an r -cycle (and thus a candidate for $h(1)$) or an $(r - 1)$ -cycle (and thus a candidate for $h(0)$). As soon as a candidate for $h(i)$ was found, it was tested together

with each previously found candidate for $h(1 - i)$ to see whether a ‘convenient morphism’ could be formed, in which case the search terminated. This search used very little memory, and terminated quickly. For $n = 26$, our C^{++} code found the morphism in just over 6 hours.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Randy Kobes for facilitating access to computational resources. Some of the calculations were performed on the WestGrid high performance computing system (www.westgrid.ca).

We have recently been informed that Dr. Michaël Rao has also announced a proof of Dejean’s conjecture.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. J. Brandenburg, Uniformly growing k -th powerfree homomorphisms, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 23 (1983) 69–82.
- [2] J. Brinkhuis, Non-repetitive sequences on three symbols, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) 34 (1983) 145–149.
- [3] A. Carpi, On Dejean’s conjecture over large alphabets, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 385 (2007) 137–151.
- [4] J. D. Currie, N. Rampersad, Dejean’s conjecture holds for $n \geq 30$. To appear in *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2009.01.026>.
- [5] J. D. Currie, N. Rampersad, Dejean’s conjecture holds for $n \geq 27$. Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3188>.
- [6] F. Dejean, Sur un théorème de Thue, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 13 (1972) 90–99.
- [7] L. Ilie, P. Ochem, J. Shallit, A generalization of repetition threshold, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 345 (2005) 359–369.
- [8] D. Krieger, On critical exponents in fixed points of non-erasing morphisms, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 376 (2007) 70–88.
- [9] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [10] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 17, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1983.
- [11] F. Mignosi, G. Pirillo, Repetitions in the Fibonacci infinite word, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.* 26 (1992) 199–204.
- [12] M. Mohammad-Noori, J. D. Currie, Dejean’s conjecture and Sturmian words, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007) 876–890.
- [13] J. Moulin Ollagnier, Proof of Dejean’s conjecture for alphabets with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 letters, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 95 (1992) 187–205.
- [14] J.-J. Pansiot, A propos d’une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 7 (1984) 297–311.
- [15] A. M. Shur, I. A. Gorbunova, On the growth rates of complexity of threshold languages. In the local proceedings of the *12th Mons Theoretical Computer Science Days*, 2008.
- [16] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* 7 (1906) 1–22.
- [17] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* 1 (1912) 1–67.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG, 515 PORTAGE AVENUE, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA R3B 2E9 (CANADA)

E-mail address: {j.currie,n.rampersad}@uwinnipeg.ca